



5~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,889	01/16/2002	Allan R. Schwartz	99P1040US01	7936
75	90 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PACESETTER, INC			OROPEZA, FRANCES P	
15900 Valley View Court Sylmar, CA 91392-9221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			3762 DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				O			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/051,889	SCHWARTZ ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frances P. Oropeza	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	SS			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the part of the material part of the material part of the part of the material part of the material part of the part o	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commission ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/	18/04 (Amendment).					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
440	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	1 Office Action or form P1O-	152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		• •	ige			
	application from the International Bure						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
· =	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>-</u> :				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In the amendment filed 2/18/04, the Applicant amended the claims to overcome the rejection of record hence a new rejection is established in the subsequent paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Er et al. (US 5971341).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Er et al. disclose an implantable device with a parameter storage unit (122), a receiver (116), an external programmer (100), and a controller (118) (figures 2, 4A; col. 3 @ 65 – col. 4 @ 20; col. 6 @ 6-22; col. 7 @ 40-64; col. 8 @ 66 – col. 9 @ 65; col. 11 @ 50-52; col. 19 @ 32-46). The controller is responsive to a reset signal from the external programmer to change the operating configuration/ programming state containing all the parameters (mode, base rate, A-V delay and other accepted parameters such as rest rate, maximum tracking rate, maximum sensor rate) (col. 21 @ 35-51). The operating configurations are transmitted to the programmer (col. 19 @ 37-46).

Art Unit: 3762

As to claim 2, a current state pointer is disclosed (col. 10 @ 19-22).

As to claims 5, 10 and 14, at least three sets of parameters representative the three programming states are disclosed including dual chamber and single chamber atrial and ventricular modes (col. 12 @ 19-26; col. 11 @ 5-10).

As to claims 12 and 14, the difference between the configurations/ programming states are displayed (figure 13; col. 22 @ 5-6).

As to operating configurations and programming states, the operating configuration and the programming state are both read as collections of operating parameters. The Applicant appears to assert in the 2/18/04 amendment that programming states are a collection of parameters that are established and do not need to be modified, hence the operating states of Er et al. do not qualify as programming states. It is noted however that the instant specification discusses establishing a programming state and then selectively modifying the parameters to create a new programming state (Specification – page 3, lines 7-12), hence undermining the notion that programming states are established unmodified collections of parameters. The operating states of Er et al. are read as programming states.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-11 and 16-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alt et al. (US 6073049).

Alt et al. disclose an implantable device (10) with a parameter storage unit (memory – col. 8 @ 60-65), a receiver (figure 1 – antenna and circuitry; col. 9 @ 42-46), an external programmer (25), and a controller (15) (figure 1; col. 8 @ 54-65). The controller is responsive to a reset signal from the external programmer to change the programming states containing all

Art Unit: 3762

the parameters (mode and other accepted parameter criteria such as base rate, A-V delay rest rate, maximum tracking rate, maximum sensor rate) (col. 11 @ 9-11). The programming states are transmitted to the programmer (col. 9 @ 29-46; col. 11 @ 32-34).

As to claim 2, a current state pointer is disclosed (col. 11 @ 41-48).

As to claims 5, 10 and 14, at least three sets of parameters representative to three programming states are disclosed including dual chamber and single chamber bradycardia and pathological tachycardia modes (col. 13 @ 54-58).

As to operating configurations and programming states, the operating configuration and the programming state are both read as collections of operating parameters. The Applicant appears to assert in the 2/18/04 amendment that programming states are a collection of parameters that are established and do not need to be modified, hence the operating states of Alt et al. do not qualify as programming states. It is noted however that the instant specification discusses establishing a programming state and then selectively modifying the parameters to create a new programming state (Specification – page 3, lines 7-12), hence undermining the notion that programming states are established unmodified collections of parameters. The operating states of Alt et al. are read as programming states

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 13 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,889 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

Statutory Basis

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza, telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 3762

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

> ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700